

OXC-3058

Copy 5 of 6

14 FEB 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR : Deputy Director (Plans)  
THROUGH : Acting Chief, Development Projects Division, DD/P  
SUBJECT : Armament System for RB-12

25X1A 1. A meeting was held in Dr. Charyk's conference room 13 February,  
25X1A attended by representatives from LAC, SH, P&W, Hughes, [REDACTED]  
Westinghouse, IT&E and USAF. [REDACTED] and I attended to represent DFD.

25X1D 2. Dr. Charyk introduced the purpose of the meeting and stated  
that overall program security was of highest priority and this strike-  
reconnaissance version, if undertaken, was to be secondary in importance  
to the basic program objective.

3. The operational deployment would be on remote bases with 24 hour  
alert and scramble time of 3-5 minutes for periods of a week at a time.

OX-3058

Copy 5 of 6  
Page 2

6. After discussion, the following items were agreed in principle:

Hughes responsible for missile.

MH responsible for inertial guidance system and computer.

25X1A [redacted] and Westinghouse in cooperative competition for radar.

Hughes and MH for armament system engineering and technical direction.

Submittal of proposed work statements and cost proposals for a 60-90 day study by 26 February.

Decision in June to stop or proceed to flight by December 1963.

25X1A

[redacted]  
Dr. Charyk made the point that the characteristics of the system as stated were subject to negotiation in that the contractors should inform the government of situations as where the final 10% in performance added 50% in cost, time, or technical uncertainty.

7. It was agreed that a one or two day meeting at Burbank was the next necessary step to better inform all participants of the nature of the problems. In this connection I told Dr. Charyk that the very minimum necessary data on AR features would be made available to specific individuals needing such information, e.g., antenna designers, and that no information as to goals and overall results would be passed out.

25X1A

8. Dr. Charyk had asked at an earlier meeting if we would permit [redacted] to serve as informed consultants and advisors to the government on this development. I discussed this at some length with [redacted] and after exploring individual qualifications, work loads, past associations, and [redacted] involvement, he volunteered the limited use of [redacted]. They, as well as [redacted] will represent us at the Burbank meeting. I do not foresee more than an average 2-3 days per month as necessary by the [redacted] people on this project.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

9. The final management arrangements, if the development is carried beyond the 90 day study, would be through associate contracts with IAC, P&W, and the to be selected armament system contractor. Radar, processor, missile computers would be sub-contracted by the latter organization.

GXC-3058  
Copy 5 of 6  
Page 3

10. During the study phase however the fact that some of the contractors must cooperate on one hand and compete on the other, make for a sticky situation. I told Dr. Charyk that we should review carefully any agreements reached at the Burbank meeting.

11. At the moment Dr. Charyk is the only person that we could look to for enforcement of the principle of first priority being accorded to the basic GXCART program. I would urge you to obtain his agreement that [REDACTED] be party to important technical meetings on the RB-12 on the West Coast and one of us similarly for Washington meetings.

25X1A

25X1A



SA/TA/DPD

25X1A

[REDACTED] SA/TA/DPD:haj (14 February 1962)

Distribution:

- Copy # 1 - DD/P  
# 2 - AC/DPD  
# 3 - SA/TA/DPD  
# 4 - C/DB/DPD  
# 5 - DB/DPD  
# 6 - RI/DPD